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1. Executive Summary

## Introduction

Food Craft Institute – Visakhapatnam is a Technical Institute jointly sponsored by Government of India and Government of Andhra Pradesh and was established vide.G.O.MS.No.318 Labour, Employment, Nutrition and Technical Education.

Andhra Pradesh Technology Services (hereon referred as APTS) performed the Cyber Security Assessment of Fcivizg Application for Human Resource Department to determine, if any weakness exist in the application.

## Engagement Specific Details

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **S. No.** | **Activity** | 1. **Date** |
| 1. 1. | 1. Start date of engagement | 1. 19/09/2019 |
| 1. 2. | 1. Submission date of initial report | 1. 23/09/2019 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **S. No** | **Area** | **Review Performed By** | **Application SPOC** | **Department Name** |
| 1. 1. | 1. Application Security Assessment | 1. Apts Team | 1. Ravi | 1. Human Resource |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **S. No** | **Date** | **Version Number** | 1. **Remarks** |
| 1. 1. | 1. 23/09/2019 | 1. v1.0 | 1. Initial Review |

## Scope Details

### Inclusion

1. **Web Application Security Assessment & Penetration Testing**

Application Name: Food Craft Institute

Application URL: http://fcivizag.com

Environment: Production

Type of Review: Black box

Hash of Zipped Source Code (SHA512): Not Provided

## Approach & Methodology

1. The web application security assessment was conducted in line with the leading security standards and guidelines for web application security such as OWASP.
2. The approach followed for the security assessment is detailed below:

### Information Gathering:

We conducted a walkthrough of the web application to assess the scope of the security assessment and obtain the following information to identify the potential attack vectors:

* 1. Functionalities available in the web application
  2. Entry points for the web application
  3. Web application is custom developed or off-the-shelf application
  4. Protocols used by the web application
  5. Back-end technology including web server, framework, and development language
  6. Conduct search engine discovery and reconnaissance
  7. Banner grabbing (finger printing) to identify the running version of web server / application server and framework
  8. Enumerate application on web server to identify other applications running on the server
  9. View source of the web application to review the comments and metadata
  10. Map functionalities and data flow to identify attack vectors

### Automated & Manual Scanning:

We performed an unauthenticated automated & Manual scanning of the web application URL using commercial and open source tools. The scanning was conducted to identify any known vulnerabilities in the subjected application.

### Analyse results and reporting:

We then analysed the results from manual inspection to identify the vulnerabilities applicable to the web application. The risk classification for each of these vulnerabilities was identified based on the likelihood of occurrence, impact, and level of access required to exploit these vulnerability as per the risk classification methodology detailed in 1.5 of the report.

1. An exception based detailed report is prepared with the following:
2. Description of the vulnerability
3. Risk Rating
4. Impact & Root Cause
5. Recommendation including reference links

## Risk Categorization

The risk ratings assigned to each finding in this report are based on 3 dimensions – Likelihood, Impact, and Level of access required. These are defined below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Likelihood** | High | Attacker can use existing tools to exploit the vulnerability by following prescriptive instructions and without knowledge of coding/platforms. Target can be exploited directly. Finding assists with exploitation of or is linked to other high or critical risk findings. |
| Medium | Attacker must have knowledge of coding/platforms and may require customisation of tools (e.g. batch scripts, shell scripts, Metasploit module customization) to exploit the vulnerability.  Exploitation of target may require setup of additional infrastructure or processes. |
| Low | High level of skill required to exploit. Attacker must develop their own tools or processes (e.g. custom written exploit code) to successfully exploit the vulnerability.  Publicly available exploits were not identified.  Exploitation of target requires setup of additional infrastructure or processes (e.g. Spear Phishing). |
| **Impact** | Severe | Vulnerability may lead to widespread administrator access to multiple materially sensitive systems (e.g. Enterprise Administrator), or access to the internal network from the Internet. |
| Major | Vulnerability may lead to immediate access to sensitive or materially sensitive data, or highly privileged access to critical business systems, or a severe and extended disruption to critical business systems or operations, with impact to many users or sites. |
| Moderate | Vulnerability may lead to access to sensitive data, or privileged access to critical business systems, or partial disruption to critical business systems or operations, with impact to some users or sites. |
| Minor | Vulnerability may lead to:  Access to non-sensitive data, or  Access to non-critical business systems, or  Disruption to non-critical business systems or operations, with limited impact to users/sites. |
| Insignificant | Information disclosure of non-sensitive enticement information (e.g. IP addresses, hostnames, system information) with no direct impact to availability. |
| **Level of access required** | Privileged | Privileged user (e.g. administrator). |
| Non-privileged | General user (e.g. domain user). |
| Internal Anonymous | Unauthenticated user with access to the internal network. |
| External Anonymous | Unauthenticated Internet user (includes web applications that allow self-registration). |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Consequence**  **Likelihood** | **Small** | **Moderate** | **Severe** | **Catastrophic** |
| **Low** | Info | Low | Medium | Medium |
| **Moderate** | Low | Medium | Medium | High |
| **High** | Low | Medium | High | High |
| **Very High** | Medium | High | High | High |

The final risk ratings are defined as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| High | Urgent action should be taken to address findings. |
| Medium | Action should be taken to address findings in a timely manner.  Out of cycle change and compensating controls may be required. |
| Low | No immediate action required. Remediation items can be implemented during the next scheduled change window. |
| Information | No immediate risks to the environment were identified as part of the testing. Findings are informational only. |

Note: The above matrices are intended to be used as a guide only in determining the appropriate risk rating for a particular vulnerability. Other factors may need to be considered when weighing up the final risk rating, such as the number of servers/applications affected by the vulnerability, nature of system’s affected (e.g. Production, Development, and Test), and nature of data accessed or disclosed.

## Vulnerability Summary

Below is the summary of open vulnerabilities that still exist in the application.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Review Area** | **Initial Review** | | |
| **High** | **Medium** | **Low** |
| **Web Application Security Assessment** | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| **Total** |  | | **4** |

### Distribution of Observation

1. Detailed Observation

## Web Application Security Assessment & Penetration Testing

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Vulnerability Name** | **Insufficient Anti Automation** | **Risk Rating**: Medium |
| **Description** | Insufficient Anti-automation is when a web site permits an attacker to automate a process that should only be performed manually. Certain web site functionalities should be protected against automated attacks. | |
| **Affected Path(s)** | http://fcivizag.com/contact.php | |
| **Impact** | Attackers could repeatedly exercise web site functionality attempting to exploit or defraud the system. An automated robot could potentially execute thousands of requests a minute, causing potential loss of performance or service. | |
| **Evidence/Proof of Concept**  **Step 1:** By accessing the URL http://fcivizag.com/contact.php it is observed that the captcha is not implemented as shown in image  antiautomation.png | | |
| **Recommendation** | It is recommended to implement captcha.  Reference Links:  http://www.captcha.net/ | |
| **Management Comments** |  | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Vulnerability Name** | **Directory Listing** | **Risk Rating**: Medium |
| **Description** | The web server is configured to display the list of files contained in this directory. This is not recommended because the directory may contain files that are not normally exposed through links on the web site. | |
| **Affected Path(s)** | /(Web Server) | |
| **Impact** | A user can view a list of all files from this directory possibly exposing sensitive information. | |
| **Evidence/Proof of Concept**  **Step 1:** Directories are being enumerated for the application as shown in image.  s  directorylisting.png | | |
| **Recommendation** | There is not usually any good reason to provide directory listings, and disabling them may place additional hurdles in the path of an attacker. This can normally be achieved in two ways:   * Configure your web server to prevent directory listings for all paths beneath the web root; * Place into each directory a default file (such as index.htm) that the web server will display instead of returning a directory listing. | |
| **Management Comments** |  | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Vulnerability Name** | **Technology Version Disclosure** | **Risk Rating**: Low |
| **Description** | The HTTP responses returned by this web application include a server header which discloses nginx version. | |
| **Affected Path(s)** | /(Web server) | |
| **Impact** | The HTTP header may disclose sensitive information. This information can be used to launch further attacks | |
| **Evidence/Proof of Concept**  **Step 1:** the response is displaying the the server and technology version as shown in image.  version disclosure.png | | |
| **Recommendation** | Go to nginx/conf folder   * Take a backup of configuration file * Add following in nginx.conf under server section * server\_tokens off; * Restart Nginx web server   Reference Links:  https://geekflare.com/remove-server-header-banner-nginx/ | |
| **Management Comments** |  | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Vulnerability Name** | **Click jacking** | **Risk Rating**: Low |
| **Description** | Click jacking is a malicious technique of tricking a Web user into clicking on something different from what the user perceives they are clicking on, thus potentially revealing confidential information or taking control of their computer while clicking on seemingly innocuous web pages. | |
| **Affected Path(s)** | /(web server) | |
| **Impact** | An attacker can host this domain in other evil site by using iframe and if a user fills the given field it can directly redirect as logs to attacker and after its redirect to your web server. Leading to steal user information too and use that host site as phishing of your site its CSRF and Click jacking. | |
| **Evidence/Proof of Concept**  **Step 1:** Embed the website URL in the iframe src as shown in below image.  clickjacking.png  **Step 2:** The website is loaded within the frame as shown in image.  **clickjac.png** | | |
| **Recommendation** | 1. Sites can use X-Frame-Options to avoid click jacking attacks, by ensuring that their content is not embedded into other sites.   It is recommended to perform the following: ? Use the X-FRAME Options in response header set to DENY or Same Origin or ALLOW-FROM a specified URL ? X-Frame-Options: This header works with modern browsers and can be used to prevent framing of the page. | |
| **Management Comments** |  | |
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## Limitations

1. The report has been prepared based on the information given by Human Resource Department and is accordingly, given for the specific purpose of internal use by the Human Resource Department. Our conclusions are based on the completeness and accuracy of the stated facts and assumptions; which if not entirely complete or accurate, should be communicated to us immediately, as the inaccuracy or incompleteness could have a material impact on our conclusions.
2. This report has been prepared solely for Human Resource Department, being the express addressee to this document. Human Resource Department does not accept or assume any liability, responsibility or duty of care for any use of or reliance on this report by anyone, other than (i) Human Resource Department, to the extent agreed in the relevant contract for the matter to which this report relates (if any), or (ii) as expressly agreed by Human Resource Department in writing in advance.
3. Without prior permission of Human Resource Department, the contents of this report may not be quoted in whole or in part or otherwise referred to in any documents. The report is for the sole information of Human Resource Department and APTS accepts no responsibility to any other party.
4. This report (and any extract from it) may not be copied, paraphrased, reproduced, or distributed in any manner or form, whether by photocopying, electronically, by internet, within another document or otherwise, without the prior written permission of Human Resource Department. Further, any quotation, citation or attribution of this report, or any extract from it, is strictly prohibited without Human Resource Department’s prior written permission.
5. This report makes recommendations based on the initial information. However, corrective action must be taken by the respective owners by performing a root cause analysis for each of the observations highlighted as part of this report.